

# **KINGS LAW REPORTS**

## **(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)**

**(1999) KLR VOL 7 PART 88 pp. 2249 - 2418**

**JULY 1999**

**Dedicated to the King of kings**

**O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor**

### **INDEX OF CASES REPORTED**

#### **JULY CONTD.**

8. Bayol v. Ahemba p. 2249
9. Dike v. Okoloedo p. 2275
10. D. Stephens Industries Ltd. v. Bank of Credit & Commerce p. 2305
11. Akpan v. Umoh p. 2319
12. Dan-Jumbo v. Dan-Jumbo p. 2341
13. Okafor v. The A-G & Commissioner for Justice Anambra State p. 2359
14. Bello v. Fayose p. 2403

**ACTIONS** - Consolidation - Order of consolidation of two suits- Made by the trial court on the application of the appellants - Because the parties and subject matter were the same in both suits - Contention by the appellants that the suits should have been heard separately - Is erroneous. Akpan v. Umoh p. 2319

**ACTIONS** - Delay - Miscarriage of justice - Delay per se does not lead to a judgment being vitiated - Unless the delay occasioned a miscarriage of justice. Akpan v. Umoh p. 2319

**ACTIONS** - Malicious prosecution - Burden - On the respondent to establish - That he had a reasonable cause to make the report - When and how it arises. Bayol v. Ahemba p. 2249

**ACTIONS** - Malicious Prosecution - Proof - Where the plaintiff had failed to adduce evidence enough in proof of his case - The trial judge has no responsibility - To inquire on whether the defendant had made out a defence. Bayol v. Ahemba p. 2249

**ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES** - Stay of execution - Appeal - Probate of a will - Granted when an appeal had been lodged - Was irregular - And there was no necessity to apply for a stay of execution - As the will was still in litigation. Dan-Jumbo v. Dan-Jumbo p. 2341

**APPEALS** - Ground of law- Particulars - How to frame - Ground of law requiring particulars - And the purpose of requiring particulars. D. Stephens Industries Ltd. v. Bank of Credit & Commerce p. 2305

**APPEALS** - Issue - Doctrine of standing by - Which did not arise - It was erroneous for the court of Appeal - To have made a pronouncement on the issue - Which was neither an issue at the trial nor on the appeal. Bello v. Fayose p. 2403

**APPEALS** - Issue - Proper issue - Declaration - Where no declaration was granted - An issue raised on the consequence - Of the failure on the part of the plaintiffs to present a plan - Showing the area for which declaration is sought - It not an issue properly arising. *Bello v. Fayose* p. 2403

**APPEALS** - Issues - Hypothetical and abstract issues - What they mean - And how such issues affect an appeal. *Bello v. Fayose* p. 2403

**APPEALS** - Judgment - Error - The court Appeal was wrong in raising and considering - The question of standing by in this case - But the error did not affect the correctness of its conclusion. *Bello v. Fayose* p. 2403

**APPEALS** - Judgment - Issues - Properly raised and heard by the Court of Appeal - Failure to discharge the duty of determining such issues - May vitiate the judgment - But in the instant case failure to consider such issues - Was not fatal to the decision of the Court of Appeal. *Bayol v. Ahemba* p. 2249

**APPEALS** - Judgment - Procedural slip - Where the trial judge erroneously examined - The evidence of a witness given in an earlier proceeding - And the evidence was harmless - This procedural slip cannot alone vitiate the proceeding. *Bayol v. Ahemba* p. 2249

**APPEALS** - Judgment - Nullity - Breach of the constitutional right of fair hearing - By the Court of Appeal - Is sufficient to render it's judgment a nullity. *Okafor v. Attorney-General Anambra State* p. 2359

**APPEALS** - Judgment - Reversal - Slip - It is not every slip committed by a court - That leads to the reversal of the judgment of that court - It must be demonstrated that the slip - Substantially affected the outcome of the decision. *Bayol v. Ahemba* p. 2249

**APPEALS** - Judgments - Stay of execution - An appeal will not operate as an automatic stay of execution - But the court should always consider

vi **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (1999) 7 KLR**

- Whether such judgments if enforced - Will not render nugatory the result obtained on appeal. *Dan-Jumbo v. Dan-Jumbo* p. 2341

**APPEALS** - Parties - Substitution - Application to substitute a representative sole Appellant - After the appeal has been withdrawn - Ought to have been refused. *Okafor v. Attorney-General Anambra State* p. 2359

**APPEALS** - Withdrawal - Notice of withdrawal - Made pursuant to order 3 Rule 18 (2) - Of the Court of Appeal Rules, 1981 - Where one of the parties did not subscribe his signature to the notice - The ingredients of that sub rule have not been satisfied. *Okafor v. Attorney-General Anambra State* p. 2359

**APPEALS** - Preliminary Objection - To the hearing of an appeal - It's meaning and Purpose. *Okafor v. Attorney-General Anambra State* p. 2359

**BANKING** - Account - Closure of the customer's account - At the instance of the banker - The banker must give the customer reasonable notice. *D. Stephens Industries Ltd. v. Bank of Credit & Commerce* p. 2305

**BANKING** - Account - Notice to close an account - Made by a customer - May be by oral instruction - And the banker is entitled to act on the notice without delay. *D. Stephens Industries Ltd. v. Bank of Credit & Commerce* p. 2305

**BANKING** - Account - Notice to close - Revocation - Notice to have his account closed - Made by the customer - May be revoked by him before the banker acts on it - But in the present case the notice was not revoked. *D. Stephens Industries Ltd. v. Bank of Credit & Commerce* p. 2305

**BANKING** - Banker and customer relationship - Termination - In the instant case since the relationship was terminated - By a notice given by

the appellant - The respondents were justified in not paying the appellant's cheque. *D. Stephens Industries Ltd. v. Bank of Credit & Commerce* p. 2305

**COURTS** - Judgment - Setting aside - Judgment which is a nullity - The court's jurisdiction to set aside its own judgment which is a nullity - Can be exercised suo motu. *Okafor v. Attorney-General Anambra State* p. 2359

**COURTS** - Setting aside judgment - Nullity - Where the issue of nullity of the court's decision was not before it - The court has no duty to have its decision set aside - Even though it found that the decision was a nullity. *Okafor v. Attorney-General Anambra State* p. 2359

**COURTS** - Sua motu setting aside - Of a judgment that is a nullity - What is meant by the court exercising its jurisdiction suo motu. *Okafor v. Attorney-General Anambra State* p. 2359

**ESTOPPEL** - Standing by - The principle - What it means - And whether it applies in this case. *Bello v. Fayose* p. 2403

**EVIDENCE** - Actions - Malicious prosecution - Section 34(1) of the Evidence Act - The exclusionary provisions of that subsection - Have not admitted of any exception in favour of - Actions for malicious prosecution. *Bayol v. Ahemba* p. 2249

**EVIDENCE** - Admissibility - Earlier proceeding - Evidence - Given in such a proceeding - Its relevance and admissibility. *Bayol v. Ahemba* p. 2249

**EVIDENCE** - Appeals - Reevaluation of evidence - The Court of Appeal was entitled - To reevaluate the evidence adduced in this case - Where the evidence of the appellants though believed - Was insufficient to prove the root of title - As required by law. *Dike v. Okoloedo* p. 2275

**EVIDENCE** - Earlier proceeding - Evaluation - By the trial judge - Of evidence given in an earlier proceeding - By a witness who did not testify in the instant case - Is erroneous. Bayol v. Ahemba p. 2249

**INTERPRETATION OF STATUTES** - Court of Appeal Rules - Provisions of Rule 18 - Effect of withdrawal of an appeal - Under the provisions - Is that the appeal shall be deemed to have been dismissed. Okafor v. Attorney-General Anambra State p. 2359

**JUDGMENTS** - Appeal - Issue - That did not arise under the grounds of appeal - Cannot be raised by the court - And where the court merely raised such issue in the instant case - But did not consider it - It has not occasioned a miscarriage of justice. Bayol v. Ahemba p. 2249

**JUDGMENTS** - Declaration of title - Identity of land - The learned trial judge is in error - To tie the declaration of title made to two plans in different suits - But this error is a mere slip that can be corrected by the appellate court. Akpan v. Umoh p. 2319

**JUDGMENTS** - Nullity - Legal consequences - Of an act which is a nullity. Okafor v. Attorney-General Anambra State p. 2359

**JUDGMENTS** - Nullity - Setting aside - How to set aside a judgment that is a nullity. Okafor v. Attorney-General Anambra State p. 2359

**LANDLAW** - Declaration of title - Proof - Where plaintiffs failed to prove their root of title satisfactorily - Defendants who have not counter claimed - Need not answer the claim upon such defective evidence. Dike v. Okoloedo p. 2275

**LANDLAW** - Evidence - Traditional history - Time immemorial - It is not enough for the appellants in the instant case - To testify only that they own the land from time immemorial - They must go ahead to show from whom the land started - And finally devolved to them. Dike v. Okoloedo p. 2275

**LAND LAW** - Title - Claim for declaration of title to land - Proof - A plaintiff has the burden of proving his case - On his own evidence - But can take advantage of and rely upon evidence by the defence- Which supports his case. *Dike v. Okoloedo* p. 2275

**LAND LAW** - Title - Declaration of title - Traditional evidence - Once it is found to be conclusive and cogent - There would be no need whatsoever to require further proof. *Dike v. Okoloedo* p. 2275

**LAND LAW** - Title to land- Purchasers of land- Their case will succeed or fail - With the case of their common vendor. *Dike v. Okoloedo* p. 2275

**LAND LAW** - Trespass and injunction - Production of plan - Is not essential to a claim for trespass - And an order of injunction - Can be granted without a plan - Where the identity of the land is certain. *Bello v. Fayose* p. 2403

**LAND LAW** - Trespass - Possession - Right to enter - Where the plaintiffs have been found to be in possession of the land in dispute - And the defendant had not established his right to enter any part of that land - He cannot make an issue of the failure of the plaintiff - To demarcate any part of the land - As that on which he should not enter. *Bello v. Fayose* p. 2403

**TORTS** - Malicious prosecution - Action - Ingredients - To be established in order to succeed - In an action for malicious prosecution. *Bayol v. Ahemba* p. 2249

**WILLS** - Caveat - Appropriate steps - To be taken - After the entry of caveat. *Dan-Jumbo v. Dan-Jumbo* p. 2341

**WILLS** - Probate - Caveat - Grant of probate - After the entry of caveat - The caveator was entitled to be put on notice - Before proceeding to make the grant. *Dan-Jumbo v. Dan-Jumbo* p. 2341

**WORDS & PHRASES** - “A Caveat” - What it means. Dan-Jumbo v. Dan-Jumbo p. 2341

## **INDEX OF STATUTE & RULES**

Evidence Act, s. 34 (1) Bayol v. Ahemba p. 2249

Court of Appeal Rules, 1981 (as amended) O.3 v.18 Okafor v. Attorney-General Anambra State p. 2359